

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23941-2023

Date of Decision: 19.10.2023

DIDAR SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the respondents- UOI.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to issue him passport.

2. The petitioner applied for passport vide application No.JA1068228462423 dated 14.07.2023, however, it has not been issued because of pendency of FIR No.133, dated 03.10.2022, under Sections 452, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur, against the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that police after completing investigation filed cancellation report, however, Trial Court has returned for further investigation.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kulwinder

Singh submits that it is factually correct that police after completing investigation filed cancellation report which has been returned for further investigation.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents- UOI does not dispute the fact that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in *Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others 2023 SCC Online P&H 1391*. He further submits that passport authority would pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks from today.

6. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No