

2023:PHHC:120096

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-55625-2022
Date of decision: 12.09.2023

Salil Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Pinki Gupta

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurkirat Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C for quashing of the impugned Criminal Complaint dated 06.09.2019 (Annexure P-5) bearing No.NACT/362/2019, titled as “Pinki Gupta Vs. M/s R.P. Steel Industries and Others” filed by the complainant/respondent under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced copy of order dated 18.05.2023 passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, in the Court today. The same is taken on record. It is further submitted that the aforesaid order indicates that one Vijay Gupta attorney of complainant-Pinki Gupta suffered a statement that he had compromised the matter with the accused and, therefore, he did not want to proceed with the complaint and withdrew the same. In view of the aforesaid development, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the aforesaid factual position as recorded in the order dated 18.05.2023.
4. In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

12.09.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No