

115+250

2023:PHHC:100185
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-W-692-2023 In/and
CRWP-11290-2022
Date of Decision:03.08.2023

Joginder Kumar

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Anshul Baghla, Advocate
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. A.S Manaise, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 8.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner had filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 to 4, to direct the respondent No.5 not to harass the petitioner on the behest of the respondents No.6 to 8, as respondents No.6 to 8 were issuing life threats to the petitioner.

2. After issuance of notice, status reports have been filed by State of Haryana and the same were already taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel submits that there was a financial dispute between both the parties and no cognizable offence was made out against anyone. Since there were chances of breach of peace, the preventive proceedings under Sections 107/151 Cr. PC had been ordered to be initiated against both the sides and they had been directed to maintain the peace in the area.

Learned State counsel further submits that in case any representation is received with regard to the protection of life and liberty from either of the parties, appropriate action shall be taken in accordance with law.

6. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is ordered to be disposed off, accordingly.
7. All other applications, if any, are also disposed off, accordingly.

03.08.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No