

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 24192 of 2023

Date of Decision : 20.10.2023

Irfan Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is working as a Tube Well Pump Operator with the Gram Panchayat concerned but since 03.02.2023 no salary of the petitioner is being released by the Gram Panchayat and that too without any valid justification, hence, the respondent-Gram Panchayat is required to be directed to release the pay of the petitioner forthwith.

On being pointed out that Gram Panchayat concerned is not a party to the petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently, the Gram Panchayat, Hafzabad at Surjan Kanangla, Haryana could not be impleaded as party in the present petition, hence, on his oral request, Gram Panchayat, Hafzabad at Surjan Kanangla, Haryana be impleaded as respondent No. 9 in the present petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant of oral prayer as noted here-in-above.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the oral request, the Gram Panchayat, Hafzabad at Surjan Kanangla, Haryana through its Sarpanch or Gram Sachiv is impleaded as respondent No. 9 in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-9) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, the representation dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-9) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any benefit, the same will be extended to him within a further period of four weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

October 20, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No