

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3773-2023

Date of decision : 23.02.2023

Baldev Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.S.S.Sodhi, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondent nos.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 12.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent no.2 and also to challenge the order dated 02.09.2015 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent no.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that at the time of passing of order dated 12.05.2017, no opportunity was given to learned counsel for the present petitioner to raise arguments.

A perusal of the order dated 12.05.2017 would show that it has been specifically mentioned that learned counsel for the parties were present and at page 43 of the paper book, the said fact has been reiterated and it has also been mentioned that opportunity of hearing was given and even

arguments of learned counsel for the appellant Baldev Singh before the District Collector (who is the petitioner herein) have been noted. Further at page 45 it has been noticed that after hearing the arguments of both learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record, the order has been passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a review application.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the question of maintainability of the review application or the merits of the case and in case any such application is filed, then the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

It would be further relevant to note that this Court has not condoned any delay.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 23, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No