

2023:PHHC:137222-DB
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-24077-2023

Date of decision: 20.10.2023

Satya Prakash

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Pawan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has essentially prayed for the following substantive relief:

“v) Issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to handover the physical possession of the plot no.1309, Sector-51, Gurugram, immediately after providing the passage/rasta to the plot.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with a legal notice dated 12.07.2023 (P-17), but to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. He, as always, very fairly submits that the matter in issue is already under active consideration of the authorities, and a decision, in this regard, is likely to be reached in the near future. Thus, he submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, so as to enable the authorities to examine the grievances/concerns of the petitioner, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within two weeks from today.

In the wake of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of, in terms thereof.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

20.10.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No