

2023:PHHC:136929

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-23731-2023

Date of decision: 19.10.2023

SUKHJEET SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE CIVIL SUPPLIES CORP. LTD. AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. J.P. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(ORAL)

By means of this writ petition filed under Section 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-corporation to stop the recovery from his salary till the pendency of the statutory appeal filed by him against the order of punishment dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.1 whereby recovery of Rs.5,47,682/- has been imposed on the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the outset prays for deciding the statutory appeal dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner expeditiously by passing a speaking order within a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents and accepts notice. He submits that the appeal filed by the petitioner shall be decided expeditiously.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the case file.

In view of the stand of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority/respondent No.2 to consider and decide the statutory appeal dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-3), pending before it within a period of six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Meanwhile, further recovery from the petitioner shall remain stayed and would be subject to the final order that may be passed by the Appellate Authority.

The petition stands disposed off.

October 19, 2023

nisha-II

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE**

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*