

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-23759-2023

Date of decision : 18.10.2023

Ankita

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Mr.Kamaldeep S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Nitin Kaushal, Advocate  
for respondent nos.2 and 3.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to get the answer sheet of the petitioner in Anatomy A and B papers of the First Prof MBBS examination conducted by the respondent-University in June 2023 (Session-ND-22), re-evaluated / reassessed by another external examiner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the same is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.2-University in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for respondent nos. 2 and 3 has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.2-University would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.2-University to consider the representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.2 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 18, 2023**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No