

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(235)

CWP-40312-2018

Date of decision: - 20.01.2023

Balbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of order or direction especially a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 and 3 to reinstate the watercourse.

On 30.09.2019, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and thus, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Present: None for the petitioner.

*Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3, 6 and 7.*

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 filed in Court, taken on record. Copy be supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the State prays for and is granted eight weeks' time to file reply on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7.

Counsel for the petitioner has not taken dasti notice to effect service upon respondents No.4 and 5.

Let fresh notice be issued to respondents No.4 and 5 for

07.04.2020.

This shall be last opportunity to the counsel for the petitioner to file process fee within a period of one week from today.

**September 30th, 2019 (AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE”**

A perusal of the order would show that counsel for the petitioner had not taken dasti notice to effect service upon respondents No.4 and 5 and last opportunity was granted to learned counsel for the petitioner to file process fee within a period of one week from the said date.

A perusal of the report of the Registry would show that the dasti notice could not be issued to respondents No.4 and 5 for want of process fee.

Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner inspite of the fact that the matter has been called twice.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 20, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No