

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-23926-2023

Date of decision: 19.10.2023

OMKAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Aanand Kumar Maurya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for seeking writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 4 to take action against respondent No.5 and seeking cancellation of his appointment.

Counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that he was neither the candidate, who had applied for the said post nor he has any personal grievance and that the issue is being espoused in a larger interest of the village since the respondent No.5 is not eligible to be appointed.

A question as regards the locus of the petitioner and maintainability of writ in the nature of Mandamus at his behest considering that he had no personal grievance was posed to him.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition so as to pursue an appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

OCTOBER 19, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No