

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

320

CWP-27997-2022

Date of decision: 09.03.2023

KARNAIL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

DISTRICT AND SESSION JUDGE, PATIALA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Shelly Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 to 5.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for respondents No. 37 to 41.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.1 and 2 to decide the execution application bearing No. EXC. 689-2018 for compliance of judgment dated 16.04.2012 passed by the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala in Civil Suit No. 0200064/2006.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that she does not intend to seek any relief against respondents No.1 and 2 and would have no objection in case the said respondents are deleted from the array of the parties.

Oral request is accepted.

Respondents No.1 and 2 are deleted from the array of the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that she restricts her prayer to the extent that the Executing Court may decide the pending execution petition in a time bound manner and preferably within a period of 06 months of the service being completed upon the respondent-Judgment debtors.

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-Judgment debtors have no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

In view of the above, the presnet petition is disposed of with a direction to the Executing Court to decide the pending Execution petition within a period of 06 months of completion of service on the judgment debtors.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

MARCH 09, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No