

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**R.S.A.No. 495 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.08.2023**

JAGMOHAN SINGH AND ANR.

.....Appellants

Versus

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ANR

.....Respondents

BEFORE: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present:- Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,
for the appellants.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

After arguing for sometime and on being confronted with the observations made in paragraph 19 of the impugned judgement dated 07.08.2019 passed by the First Appellate Court/Additional District Judge, Panchkula, whereby the plaintiffs have already been directed to submit their representation to the defendants (HUDA) for deciding their exclusive right for the plots allotted by it; counsel seeks withdrawal of the present appeal to enable him to pursue with the remedy already granted to him.

2. However, he submits that in case, he files representation within a period of four weeks from today, same be considered and finally decided in accordance with law regarding the exclusive right of the plaintiff viz.a viz. the rights of other co-sharer, namely, Nasibo, within some specified period.

3. Considering the statement made by the counsel at bar, present appeal is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

Accordingly, appeal stands disposed of.

4. However, it is expected from the respondents (defendants) that if any such representation, as directed in paragraph No. 19 of the judgement dated 07.08.2019, is submitted by the appellants within a period of four weeks from today, same would be decided in accordance with law within a period of four weeks thereafter, from the date of its receipt by the authorities concerned.

August 29, 2023
poonam

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No