

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269 (4)

CWP-27299-2022

Date of Decision :-22.11.2023

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for respondent No.4.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the sports gradation certificate is not being issued to him by the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that under the interim order passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner has been given provisional sports gradation certificate, which has been submitted by the petitioner with the respondents and prayer of the petitioner is that the said provisional sports gradation certificate issued by the respondents be taken into consideration to adjudge as to whether the petitioner is entitled for a particular job or not.

4. Learned State counsel submits that sports gradation certificate is to be issued as per the Policy dated 25.05.2018 and the claim of the

petitioner is to be considered under the said policy so as to ascertain as to whether the event on the basis of which the sports gradation certificate is being sought entitles the petitioner for the same or not.

5. Learned State counsel further submits that claim of the petitioner for the grant of sports gradation certificate will be considered under the policy dated 25.05.2018 and in case after due verification, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the grant of sports gradation certificate, the provisional certificate, already issued, will be made absolute otherwise, an appropriate order will be passed as to whether the petitioner is entitled for the grant of sports gradation certificate on the basis of the achievement keeping in view the participation of the petitioner in the event under the policy dated 25.5.2018.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that as the petitioner is already continuing in service, till any order is passed by the respondents with regard to the eligibility of the petitioner for the grant of sports gradation certificate, he will be allowed to continue in service.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on on the basis of the similar sports event on the basis of which sports gradation certificates is being sought, candidates have already been given the sports gradation certificate by the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that said argument of the petitioner will be considered while considering the eligibility of the petitioner for the grant of sports gradation certificate and in case any such fact is brought to the notice of the authority concerned that any candidate has been granted sports gradation certificate on the basis of similar sports event, the said fact will be kept in mind while considering the claim of the

petitioner for the grant of sports gradation certificate under the policy dated 25.05.2018.

7. Keeping in view the above, present petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondent No.2-Director, Sports and Youth Affairs, Haryana to pass an appropriate order within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order after noticing all the facts mentioned hereinbefore in the present order in case the same are supported by the petitioner through valid documents.

November 22, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No