

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(246)

CWP-32799-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 25.04.2023

Ramavtar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. M.S. Longia, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Jatin Kaushik, Advocate
for Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.5 to forthwith resume the classes of the petitioners. Further, a prayer is made for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to shift the students forthwith to other recognized Dental College in the State under respondent No.6/University in case the classes of the students could not be immediately resumed by respondent No.5/College.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have submitted that in the present case, the petitioners were shifted to other Colleges as per prayer made by them and thereafter, they have completed their courses and obtained degrees and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. None had appeared on behalf of the petitioners on 18.11.2022 and 06.01.2023. Today also, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioners to rebut the stand taken by the respondents.

4. Keeping in view the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous.

5. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

6. Pending application, if any, stand disposed of in view of the above.

April 25, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No