

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10318-2023
Date of decision : 19.10.2023

TANNU AND ANR

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Himanshu Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent No.4.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

As per the petitioners, they have married on 04.09.2023 against the wishes of respondent No.4, who happens to be father of petitioner No.1 i.e. Tannu.

Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Tannu is 14.11.2004 born and petitioner No.2-Ajay is 10.10.2002 born.

Without commenting on the legality and validity of the

marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent

CRWP-10318-2023

-2-

2023:PHHC:136329

of Police, District Jind) to look into the representation dated 04.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondent No.4 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.

The petition stands disposed off.

October 19, 2023

(Pankaj Jain)

Dpr

Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No