

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11098-2022

Date of Decision:31.01.2023

Sana [Khan@Bhumika](#) Shantilal Prajapati and Anr. ...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sarfraj Anjum, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners had filed the present petition with a prayer for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide adequate protection to the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 13.

A short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur Jhirka, District Nuh was filed on the last date of hearing and the same was taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioners are happily residing together and they were joined in the enquiry proceedings. They were offered protection and were asked to stay in safe house at Nuh, but they declined to take protection in the safe house and specifically stated that they

had no apprehension of any threat from the private respondents. The statements of both the petitioners have been placed on record in this regard.

He further submits that during enquiry, the respondents No.4 to 6 were also joined in the enquiry proceedings and their statements were also recorded. Respondents No.4 to 6 also stated that they neither created any danger to the lives and liberty of the petitioners and will not create any problem to them in future also.

In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, no further orders are required and the petition is disposed of.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

31.01.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No