

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.229

CRR No.3627 of 2017

Date of Decision : January 12, 2023

Krishan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajneesh Madhok, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Pankaj Khullar, AAG, Punjab, for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

None has appeared for respondent No. 1 despite service.

A perusal of the certificate dated 16.09.2019 annexed as Annexure A-2 with CRM-30367-2019 shows that the cheque amount has been received by respondent No.1 and that nothing survives for adjudication.

In view of the above, judgment of the trial Court dated 04.07.2015 and order of sentence of even date as well as Appellate order dated 31.08.2017 are quashed.

Revision petition is allowed.

January 12, 2023

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No