

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.53236 of 2023

Date of decision: 20th October, 2023

Surinder Singh Singal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6, with a further prayer to direct the respondents No.1 to 3 to look into the representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) made by the petitioner and to take legal action against the private respondents.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would restrict his prayer and would be satisfied in case his representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) is decided expeditiously.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Faridkot is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 20, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No