

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-54669-2022
Date of Decision:12.09.2023

Lokesh Kumar ...Petitioner

vs.

State of UT Chandigarh and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Additional P.P, UT, Chandigarh.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

As per the last order, notice could not be issued to respondent No.2 as the process fee was not filed and learned counsel for the petitioner sought an another opportunity to do the needful. A specific direction was issued to file the process fee within period of one week.

Today the office has reported that the notices could not be issued to respondent No.2, as the learned counsel for the petitioner had not filed the process fees.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

12.09.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No