

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-31942-2019(O&M)
Date of decision : 09.02.2023

Karam Chand and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
Ms.Deepika Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr.Rohit Sapehiya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab.

Mr.Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the applicant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 07.10.2022.

On 30.12.2019, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners that despite the matter with regard to the surplus area of the big landowner Ram Kishan having attained finality up to this Court and thereafter a revision petition preferred by the son of said Ram Kishan after his death straightaway before the Financial Commissioner i.e. ROR No.378 of 2011 (Annexure P-6), which was dismissed on 30.01.2015 but till date the revenue record with

regard to the allotment of the surplus land in favour of the petitioners has not been sanctioned/changed. Petitioners have been approaching the respondents time and again for the said purpose but on one pretext or the other, the needful is not being done.

Counsel for the State to seek instructions from respondents No.2 to 4.

Let four copies of the writ petition be handed over to the counsel for the State during the course of the day under proper receipt.

In case the assertion made by the counsel for the petitioners is correct, the necessary action be taken by the competent authority and the compliance report submitted in Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 27.02.2020.

*December 13th, 2019 (AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE”*

Learned counsel appearing for the State has submitted that the matter is now pending before respondent no.2-District Collector Agrarian Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur and the petitioners as well as Ajay Pal Singh who has moved an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, both have been heard through their counsel and the matter is now fixed for 14.02.2023 for final arguments.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as applicant Ajay Pal Singh, have jointly submitted that the present petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to decide the proceedings as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances and also the joint request made by learned counsel for the petitioners and the applicant, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to decide the proceedings in pursuance of the representation dated

07.10.2022 as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

Pending miscellaneous application stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 09, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No