

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-23340-2023

Date of decision: - 13.10.2023

Harjit Singh**....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Harpinder Singh Jalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondent No.3 to provide the code for depositing the fees and issue the permit on the route in question as per the grant letter dated 29.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) and provisions of Motor Vehicles Act, 1988.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioner, the petitioner has filed a legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied in case respondent No.3 considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant him appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent No.3 would consider the said legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of four weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider the legal notice dated 14.09.2023 (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case respondent No.3 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case respondent No.3 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.3 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

October 13, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No