

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP-23279-2023

Date of decision: - 13.10.2023

Jatinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate
for Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to correct the date of birth of the petitioner as 01.07.1994 instead of 04.07.1994 in the certificate of 10th Class of All India Secondary School Examination, 2010 (Annexure P-1) issued by the CBSE.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as “**Jigya Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others**”, and other connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the

petitioner be permitted to file a representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- 1) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case*** (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- 2) Respondent No.1-Board is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

5. Needless to mention here that it would be open to the respondent No.1-Board to require the petitioner or the school to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioner.

October 13, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No