

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 256)

CRM-M No.54195 of 2022

Date of decision : 24.01.2023

Vikramjit Singh @ Bikramjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 102 dated 06.09.2022 registered under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Mataur, district S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

On 06.12.2022, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it is a case where the allegations against the petitioner were that there was one owner of the property, namely, Gurinderpal Singh, who was holding the land of 29 acres. He had executed the power of attorney in favour of the petitioner with regard to the aforesaid land but without cancelling the power of attorney and while the power of attorney was still valid, he sold the land to some other person and since the petitioner was holding the power of attorney in a bona fide manner which was valid

power of attorney, he entered into an agreement to sell with the complainant Bhupinderjeet Kaur with regard to the aforesaid land and received an earnest money to the tune of Rs.3,25,00,000/-. He further submitted that thereafter, it was found that the entire land has been sold by the owner, Gurinderpal Singh to somebody else and therefore, a dispute arose between the petitioner and the complainant Bhupinderjeet Kaur for the purpose of the aforesaid amount which was the earnest money received by the petitioner. He also submitted that however since the petitioner had received the aforesaid amount, in order to compensate the complainant another piece of land was transferred in her name of the equivalent amount and even as per the police investigation she was satisfied with regard to the same and so far as the present petitioner is concerned, there is no grievance of the complainant which is left.

In view of the aforesaid position, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Adjourned to 24.01.2023.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the

State, the interim order of this Court dated 06.12.2022 granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

24.01.2023
sunil yadav

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No