

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

257

CWP-26608-2022

Date of decision: 15.02.2023

BENETTON INDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Viraj Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr. Anil K. Sokal, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.1 & 2 to provide administrative support to enable the petitioner to conduct business as guaranteed under 19(1)(g) and Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he was in receipt of copy of the reply through e-mail sent by the respondents as per which the respondents provided requisite administrative support and the petitioner has since then shifted his goods lying in the aforesaid warehousing facility.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

FEBRUARY 15, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No