

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-54023-2022
Date of Decision: 31.01.2023

Sunil Kumar
State of Haryana

Versus

....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.
Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has
complied with all the conditions mentioned in the order dated 21.11.2022.

On instructions, counsel for the State is not refuting the
statement made by counsel for the petitioner.

Given above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated
21.11.2022, is made absolute. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed
of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

31.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no