

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-31633-2019
Date of decision: 25.01.2023

YOGESH KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate and
Ms. Suman Rani, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking to challenge the action of the respondents, whereby a decision had been taken to replace the services of the petitioners by appointing some other candidates on contractual basis.

In brief, the facts as stated are that the petitioners, who were working as Sweepers under the Outsourcing Policy, Part-I in Municipal Council, Tohana, District Fatehabad on contractual basis, were sought to be replaced by other candidates on contractual basis. Aggrieved against the impugned proposal for replacing the services of the petitioners, the instant writ petition has been filed.

Pursuant to notice of motion having been issued on 01.11.2019, appearance has been caused on behalf of respondent No.4-Municipal Council, Tohana and a reply has been filed, wherein it is stated that after filing of the instant writ petition, the petitioners, other than petitioner No.42-Vinod son of Kala Ram, petitioner No.29-Rajesh son of Gamdur Singh, petitioner No.43-Yogesh Bhatia and petitioner No.3-Raj Rani, were not found to be fulfilling the conditions of the guidelines dated 06.09.2019 for taking them on the Nigam roll, however, their case for taking them on roll is under consideration before the authorities concerned.

In view of the reply filed, no interference is called for by this Court in the writ jurisdiction.

Consequently, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

25.01.2023
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No