

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CWP-22969-2023

Date of Decision : 18.10.2023

M/s Shree Ganpati Traders

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for the respondent-PUNGRAIN.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Memo of appearance filed on behalf of Pungrain is taken on record.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of letter dated 28.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) whereby respondents have changed milling centre of the petitioner.

3. The petitioner was initially attached with milling centre, Amargarh. The petitioner was allocated 5880 MT paddy, however, the respondents unilaterally have changed centre of the petitioner and now petitioner has been attached with Dhuri Centre. The allotment has been reduced from 5880 MT to 3000 MT. The petitioner has deposited security

of Rs.10,29,000/- vide challan dated 22.09.2023 as per allotment schedule

dated 22.09.2023. The petitioner has made representation to respondents, however, they have not paid any heed to the representation.

4. Learned State counsel submits that representation of the petitioner shall be considered by Chairman DAC within two days and an appropriate order would be passed.

5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of. The respondents shall consider apart from already made representation, the present writ petition as additional representation of the petitioner.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.10.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>