

266

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27171-2022

Date of decision: 11.12.2023

Savita

...Petitioner

Versus

Transport Commissioner, Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Piyush Aggarwal, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the application dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner and for issuance of a Letter of Intent/Stage Carriage permit on the desired route i.e., Route No.186.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had given application dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) and she would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of State of Haryana takes a decision on the said application dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent

authority of State of Haryana would consider the said application dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of State of Haryana to consider application dated 28.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the State of Haryana would consider the case of the petitioner independently in accordance with law.

11.12.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**