

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2538-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.02.2023

Ajay Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Ranjit Singh Dhillon, IPS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are alleging violation of the order dated 15.03.2022, passed by this Court in CRM-M-10924-2022, vide which, respondent No. 3 was directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner.

Reply, filed by way of the affidavit of the S.S.P., Tarn Taran, is taken on record. As per reply, the legal notice/representation of the petitioners stands decided by conducting a detailed inquiry by the S.P. (Inv.), Tarn Taran, which has further been approved by the S.S.P., Tarn Taran. Copy of the same is attached as Annexures R-1/R-2.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail their alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

13.02.2023

Waseem Farsari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No