

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

[125] CRM-M-55312-2022
Date of Decision: 21.03.2023

RAJ RANI PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition is seeking quashing FIR No.50 dated 10.02.2020 (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak, under Sections 406, 498-A and 506 IPC as well as all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter-alia*, contends that marriage of respondent No.2 was solemnized with son of the petitioner on 24.10.2012. There were temperamental issues between the couple and on account of their disputes, the petitioner and her husband decided to reside separate from them. They got published a circulation in the daily newspaper in 2018 disowning their son. The petitioner is 70 years old lady and the FIR was lodged after 08 years from the date of marriage.

On being asked, whether challan stands presented and charges stand framed, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly concedes that challan has been presented and charges have been framed. He seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to file afresh with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.03.2023
ANJAL

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>