

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-23314-2023

Date of decision: - 13.10.2023

New Everest Senior Secondary School

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Manu Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for granting temporary affiliation up to Class 12th in all streams.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-8) and he would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 considers the same in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the petitioner appropriate relief. It is

further submitted that in the present case, the last date for enrollment of the students is 23.10.2023, thus, a prayer has been made that the representation be considered prior to the said date.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would consider the said representation dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law and the same would be done as expeditiously as possible.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner on or before 22.10.2023 and in case respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed on or before 22.10.2023.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

October 13, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No