

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-53536-2022
Date of Decision: 21.02.2023

JASKARAN SINGH ... PETITIONER
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB .. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 18.11.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.076 dated 12.09.2022 registered under Sections 457, 323, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Bariwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is not the main accused and he is implicated in the case being neighbour of the complainant and the injuries sustained by the victim are simple in nature. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to hand over a demand draft of Rs.15,000/-, favouring the victim, without prejudice to his right of defence.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navneet Singh, DAG, Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

Counsel for the petitioner is directed to supply a copy of paperbook to counsel opposite during course of the day.

List again on 21.02.2023.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 15 days from today to join the investigation and he shall be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case*

so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;and

3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

The petitioner is also directed to handover a demand draft of Rs.15,000/- favouring the victim/injured at the time of furnishing bail/surety bonds, without prejudice to his right of defence, to the Investigating Officer, who will further hand over the same to the injured/victim.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has also handed over the demand draft of Rs.15,000/- favouring the victim/injured.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and further submits that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 18.11.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

21.02.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No