

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

**2023:PHHC:132089
CWP No.23007 of 2023
Date of Decision:11.10.2023**

M/s Mahadev Rice and General Mill

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Sumit Jain and Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocates
for PUNGRAIN

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to allot paddy for KMS 2023-24.
2. Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, has filed Memorandum of Appearance on behalf of PUNGRAIN. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that petitioner got electricity connection on 05.09.2023.

4. This Court in **CWP No. 20632 of 2023** alongwith connected cases decided on **10.10.2023** titled as “**M/s Master Foods. vs. State of Punjab and others**”, in the wake of statement of learned State counsel, had directed the respondents to allot paddy to the petitioners therein who had got electricity connection on or before 02.09.2023.

5. On being confronted with the fact that petitioner has got connection on 05.09.2023, thus, its case is not covered by aforesaid judgment, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents may be directed to sympathetically consider case of the petitioner because petitioner was not at fault in getting electricity connection on 05.09.2023.

6. The petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider claim of the petitioner sympathetically and specifically considering the fact that there was no lapse on the part of the petitioner in getting electricity connection.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.10.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No