

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56069 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16th August, 2023

Sanjeev Kumar Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vinay Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. J. S. Mehndiratta, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition was filed seeking quashing of FIR No.1315 dated 3.11.2018, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar on the basis of compromise.
2. The parties were directed to appear before the trial court/ Illaqa Magistrate for recording of the statements.
3. Following report has been received:

“From the statements of parties (as aforesaid), from the side of complainant it appears that if, accused/Sanjeev Mittal produces the family settlement, then he has no objection in quashing the FIR against him (accused Sanjeev Mittal) and this compromise has got no concern, with the other accused Manoj Mittal. As such, at present, in the absence of filing of the said document, there is no compromise from the side of complainant. Further, from the side of accused/Sanjeev Kumar Mittal, it appears that he has compromised the matter, with the complainant/Dharam Paul Jangra voluntarily and without any pressure of any kind from any corner.”

4. In view of the report received, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one as and when the matter is compromised amongst the parties.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

16th August, 2023
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No