

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23017-2023

Date of decision: 11.10.2023

Hans Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Nitin Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana, for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.3 and 4 to permit the petitioner to join at the post of Chowkidar-cum-Attendant/Attendant-cum-Chowkidar at Agriculture and Farmer Welfare Department, Panchkula in terms of the deployment offer letter dated 25.07.2022 (Annexure P-10).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-12) and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the competent authority of the respondents is directed to consider the said representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-12) in a time bound manner and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief in accordance with

law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority of the respondents would consider the said representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of the respondents to consider representation dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant the necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondents would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

11.10.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No