

205

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-17434-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2023

SARITA KUMARI

.....Petitioner

V/s.

**STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH ITS SECRETARY, DEPARATMENT
OF EDUCATION, PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA.

Present Ms. Chandni Bajaj, Advocate,
for Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (Oral)

1. The petitioner by way of this Writ Petition has prayed for issuance of a Writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing of the impugned letter circulated on 14.08.2014 (Annexure P-7).

2. It is noticed that the advertisement was for filling up the post of Lecturers on contract basis.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that since the appointments were on contract basis, the reservation Policy was not applied and the corrigendum to the advertisement was issued whereafter the selection was done. The petitioner does not fall in the merit in the general category. The posts for ex-servicemen were not ear-marked in terms of the corrigendum. There is no challenge to the corrigendum. In view thereof, no relief can be given to the petitioner in this Writ Petition.

4. I have considered the submissions and find that there is no challenge to the corrigendum. Moreover, in respect of a contractual post, no right can be claimed. In view thereof, the Writ Petition is devoid of merit and the same is **dismissed.**

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand(s) disposed of.

September 13, 2023

Ess Kay

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>