

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-2536-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.02.2023

Mobin Ansari

....Petitioner

Versus

Randhir Singh and Another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate
with Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Khushika Setia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 27.04.2022, vide which the petitioner was granted the anticipatory bail on 17.02.2022, which was confirmed vide order dated 27.04.2022 passed in CRM-M No.54394 of 2021.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that later on, after adding certain more offences, the petitioner was arrested, however, the same was in violation of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme in ***Pradeep Ram vs State of Jharkhand and others, 2019(4) CTC 575.***

Reply by way of affidavit of the respondent/Investigating Officer, is on record, in which it is stated that after receiving the FSL report, Sections 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC were added on 17.06.2022 i.e. after passing of the order dated 27.04.2022, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner and thereafter, the petitioner was arrested under a *bona*

fide mistake.

Counsel appearing for respondent No.2, submits that both the respondents are ready to compensate the petitioner by paying Rs.1.00 lac.

Counsel for the petitioner is not averse to the offer made by the respondents and in terms thereof, the respondents have paid a sum of Rs.1.00 lac (in cash) to counsel for the petitioner today in the Court itself.

In view of the above, no further action is called for and the petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

23.02.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No