

**223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-A-466-MA-2014

Date of Decision: January 30, 2023

Sheo Chand through his L.R.

... Applicant

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Kumar Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Respondent Nos.2 to 4 have been discharged vide order dated 09.12.2013 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hisar, in criminal complaint No.212 of 2008 against which complainant of the case Sheo Chand filed this application for grant of leave to appeal.

Allegations were that respondent Nos.2 to 4 had taken the applicant to the Tehsil compound after obtaining his thumb impression and got executed release deed. Matter was also challenged in the civil litigation, which has already been dismissed against the applicant.

Impugned order reveals that during his cross-examination, applicant-complainant admitted that release deed was read over to him by the Tehsildar. He also submitted that he did not file any complaint before the S.P. Office or any other higher authorities with regard to any forgery committed in the release deed. He further admitted that the land in question as mentioned in release deed was got transferred in the name of respondents on the same date.

CRM-A-466-MA-2014

In view of the aforesaid admission made by the applicant in his cross-examination, there was nothing before the trial Magistrate to charge-sheet the respondents. Finding no merit in the present application for grant of leave to appeal, the same is dismissed.

January 30, 2023
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No