

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP-22667-2023

Date of decision: - 09.10.2023

Bhim Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-5), order dated 28.04.2023 (Annexure P-6), order dated 05.07.2023 (Annexure P-8), order dated 06.07.2023 (Annexure P-14), retirement notice dated 24.07.2023 (Annexure P-17), order dated 07.08.2023 (Annexure P-18) and adverse remarks recorded in the ACR of the petitioner for the period 27.07.2022 to 31.03.2023 (Annexure P-24).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the revision petition dated 24.07.2023 (Annexure P-9), filed by the petitioner against the order dated 28.04.2023 and 05.07.2023, is pending before the Director General of Police, Haryana

Panchkula/respondent No.2 and his representation dated 27.07.2023 (Annexure P-11) against the adverse remarks for the period 01.04.2022 to 26.07.2022 is pending before the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad/respondent No.3. It is further submitted that another representation dated 27.07.2023 (Annexure P-16) filed by the petitioner against the order dated 06.07.2023 is also pending before the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad/respondent No.3. It is stated that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, in case respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said revision petition (P-9), filed by the petitioner and respondent No.3 is directed to the decide the representations (P-11 and P-16), in accordance with law, within a specified time frame.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent No.2 would decide the revision petition (P-9) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is further submitted that respondent No.3 would decide the representations (P-11 & P-16) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the revision petition (P-9) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further, respondent No.3 is directed to decide the representations (P-11 & P-16)

filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

October 09, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No