

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRA-S-2906-2023

Date of Decision: 13.10.2023

Mubarik

.... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Manoj Tanwar, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Munfaid Khan, Advocate for the complainant.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The appellant has preferred the present appeal against the order dated 26.09.2023, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court for trial of offence under POCSO Act, Nuh, dismissing the bail application filed by the appellant in case FIR No. 231 dated 20.11.2022 registered under Sections 363, 366A, 376, 384, 120-B, 506 and 201/34 IPC; Sections 4 and 17 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Sections 3(2)(VA) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Rojka Meo.

Vakaltnama filed on behalf of the complainant is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the State has filed custody certificate

dated 12.10.2023, which is taken on record, as per which the appellant has been in custody as an undertrial for a period of 08 months and 19 days.

After arguing for some time when this Court is not inclined to accord the benefit of regular bail to the appellant, learned counsel for the appellant submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present appeal, at this stage.

Permitted to do so.

Dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

13.10.2023
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No