

[234] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-4909-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision :01.02.2023

SANTOKH SINGH AND ANR ...Appellants

Versus

ALLAHABAD BANKRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Sumit Batra, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Regular Second Appeal has been filed by the appellants/defendants against the judgment and decree dated 28.04.2017 passed by the learned Appellant Court in case titled as Santokh Singh and another vs. Allahabad Bank affirming the judgment and decree dated 05.03.2016 passed by the learned trial Court decreeing the suit of the respondent/plaintiff and holding it entitled to recover amount of Rs.1,80,000/- along with interest at the rate of 12% per annum.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent-bank states that the dispute between the parties has been amicably resolved and the bank has received the settled amount, therefore, in the circumstances, the appeal be disposed of as having become infructuous.

[3] Learned counsel for the appellants states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-bank, he has no objection to the appeal being disposed of as having become infructuous but prays for grant of liberty to the appellants to move an application for revival of the appeal, if cause survives.

[4] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the regular second appeal is disposed of as having become infructuous while granting liberty to the appellants as prayed for.

01.02.2023
'Amit'

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No