

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-53457-2022 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 07.02.2023

AARTIA KALU RAM @ VINAYAK GARG AND ANOTHER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate for  
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.100 dated 23.10.2022, under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Jhunir, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of order dated 18.11.2022 of this Court, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kabal Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the

investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 has filed reply and submits that there are serious allegations against the petitioners, however, he is unable to controvert the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioners is allowed and the order dated 18.11.2022 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE

February 07, 2023

S.Sharma(syr)

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |