

2023:PHHC:135675

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118 CWP-23743-2023
Date of Decision : 18.10.2023

Bharat Mangla Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Dr. Rau PS Girwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to the respondents not to allot paddy to respondents No.8 to 12.
2. The petitioner is disputing allocation of paddy to private respondents on the ground that there is manipulation of documents with respect to ownership of the rice mill. The petitioner has preferred representation to the respondents-authorities.
3. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner vide email has received a communication from respondents to the effect that matter is under consideration.
4. Learned State counsel submits that an appropriate order would be passed expeditiously.
5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of.

18.10.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>