

2023:PHHC:135948

141 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22819-2023

Date of Decision:18.10.2023

SHUBHAM

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate for
 Mr. Naveen Kumar Jaglan, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate for the respondents- UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of document verification report dated 13.09.2023 (Annexure P-4) whereby candidature of the petitioner has been rejected on the ground that in the application form word ‘Kumar’ has not been mentioned with the name of his father whereas word ‘Kumar’ is mentioned in documents.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by order dated 23.08.2023 passed by this Court in *CWP No.17322 of 2023* titled as ‘*Vishal Vs. Union of India*’.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the factual position and further submits that present petition may be disposed of in the light of order dated 23.08.2023 passed by this Court.
4. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of in terms of order dated 23.08.2023 passed by this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

18.10.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No