

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-54837-2022
Date of Decision: 20.01.2023

Parshotam Singh
Versus
State of Punjab and another

....Petitioner

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Kapoor, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Feeling aggrieved by the dismissal of the application filed under Section 311 CrPC, the accused has come up before this Court.

In para 3 of the application filed u/s 311 CrPC, the petitioner stated that new facts had come to his notice and for that reason, he wanted to cross-examine the witness.

Bare perusal of the application reveals that nothing is maintained about these facts. Furthermore, perusal of the impugned order reveals that witness was examined wayback in the year 2016 and opportunity of cross-examination was also afforded to the petitioner and apparently it appears to be tactic to delay the trial. Even otherwise, there is no illegality in the impugned order.

Given above, the petition is dismissed. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of. Trial be expedited.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

20.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no