

123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-5987-2023
DECIDED ON: 09.10.2023

SUMESH ANANDPETITIONER

VERSUS

NIRAJ ANANDRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition.
2. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present revision petition is dismissed as having been withdrawn.
3. Before parting with the order, it needs to be observed that the certified copy of the impugned order which has been annexed with the revision petition shows that very small font has been used in the impugned order or while preparing a photocopy for the purposes of a certified copy, the size has been reduced. Still further, the certified copy duly contains the signature of the Presiding Officer which is not permitted. Let a report be called from the learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana within a period of four weeks as to on what basis such kind of certified copies are being prepared and why heed is not being paid by the concerned branch to the instructions issued by the High Court from time to time and the rules framed in this regard. The report be put up before the undersigned on its receipt.

09.10.2023
Prince Chawla

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No