

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRM-M-50458-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.10.2023

Mukesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for  
Mr. Tushar Wadhwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to take register an FIR against the culprits and take appropriate legal action on representation/complaint dated 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint/representation was given on 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the

provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the complaint/representation dated 11.08.2023 (Annexure P-3), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.***

06.10.2023  
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |