

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(215)

**2023:PHHC: 156518
CWP-34818-2019
Date of Decision: 07.12.2023**

Anantbir Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. Eklavya Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Arjanbir Likhari, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for respondent
nos.1,2,3 & 6-UOI.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

A perusal of the previous order would show that notice to respondent no.5 could not be issued for want of Process Fee. Despite this Court directed the petitioner to file Process Fee vide orders dated 22.11.2022, 23.3.2023 and 17.8.2023, needful has not been done.

The petitioner has not been appearing in the matter on any of the aforesaid dates.

Today the proxy counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner has sought for a pass over but has no explanation why the necessary Process Fee was not deposited.

It seems that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

07.12.2023

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No