

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(278)**CRM-M-50565-2023 (O&M)****Date of Decision: 04.12.2023**

Satnam Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. B.B.S.Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. P. S. Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Aniket Chauhan, Advocate, for
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition, as cast under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., proffers the hereinafter extracted relief, as craved by the petitioner, inasmuch as, quashing of the FIR No.277 dated 13.11.2020, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506 IPC(Section 201 IPC added lateron), registered at P.S. Ghuman, Police District Batala (Punjab) (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise (Annexure P-2), as entered into inter se the petitioner and respondent No.2.

2. Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for respondent No.2 qua the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 09.10.2023 upon the instant petition, besides issuing notice, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned, for getting their respective statements recorded qua authenticity of the compromise (Annexure P-2). Moreover, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned was also directed to send a report in

3. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), the parties appeared before the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class-cum-Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Batala and got their respective statements recorded, thereby authenticating the compromise (Annexure P-2). Accordingly, in compliance of the directions (supra) of this Court, a Report bearing No.414 dated 21.10.2023 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class-cum-Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Batala, wherein, a satisfaction has been recorded by the Magistrate concerned qua the compromise (supra) being drawn in a genuine and voluntary and without any pressure or coercion and out of free will of the parties. The relevant extract is reproduced hereunder:

“1. As per statement of SI Balwinder Singh, Belt No.2311/BTL presently posed at Police Station Ghoman, in the present FIR, one person has been arrayed as accused namely Satnam Singh son of Dayal Singh, resident of 16-c, M2/118 Baba Budha Ji Nagar, Tarn Taran Road, Amritsar-I.

2. No accused is declared as proclaimed offender.

3. As per statements of the parties, compromise has been effected between the parties. Compromise appears to be genuine, voluntary, without any coercion or undue influence and same is the result of free will of parties.

4. As per statement of SI Balwinder Singh, accused is not involved in any other FIR.

5. Statement of Investigating Officer namely SI Balwinder Singh, Belt No.2311/BTL has been recorded and he has submitted that in this FIR, there is only one complainant/respondent namely Manjit Singh son of Gurmej Singh, resident of village Bharath, Police Station Ghuman, Tehsil Batala and District Gurdaspur.”

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in **CRM-M-25669-2020 (O&M)**, titled **“Abhishek Singh & others V/s State of Punjab & others”**, **Pronounced on: 07.04.2022**, while granting a similar relief, as craved in the instant petition, has observed as under:-

“9. The following aspects would be relevant to conclude this petition:-

a) The accused and the private respondents have amicably settled the matter between them in terms of the compromise deed and the statements recorded before the concerned Court;

b) A perusal of the documents reveal that the settlement has not been secured through coercion, threats, social boycotts, bribes, or other dubious means;

c) The victim has willingly consented to the nullification of criminal proceedings;

d) There is no objection from the private respondents in case present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed;

e) In the given facts, the occurrence does not affect public peace or tranquillity, moral turpitude or harm the social and moral fabric of the society or involve matters concerning public policy;

f) The rejection of compromise may also lead to ill will. The pendency of trial affects career and happiness;

g) There is nothing on the record to prima facie consider the accused as an unscrupulous, incorrigible, or professional offender;

h) The purpose of criminal jurisprudence is reformatory in nature and to work to bring peace to family, community, and society;

i) The exercise of the inherent power for quashing the conviction, sentence and all previous proceedings is justified to secure the ends of justice.”

6. In the light of the hereinabove recorded aspects and considering the fact that the offences, for which the petitioner/accused has been charged, are not of grave in nature, as also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR (supra) is hereby allowed.

7. Resultantly, FIR No.277 dated 13.11.2020, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on), registered at P.S. Ghuman, Police District Batala (Punjab) (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed, on the basis of the compromise (Annexure P-2).

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No