

**248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-437-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24th January, 2023

M/s Apurvakriti Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd

... Petitioner

Versus

Government of Haryana, Public Works (B&R) Department

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Kushagra Bansal, Advocate and
Ms. Manju Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This application has been filed under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of the Arbitrator.

As per clauses 24 and 25 of the General conditions of contract, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that clause 24 of the General conditions of contract provides for pre-arbitral settlement and the said proceedings are going on.

Petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies in accordance with law, if need so arises.

There is no doubt that the parties with co-operation will make an endeavour for expeditious disposal of the settlement proceedings.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

24th January, 2023

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No